21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

3

6

7

8

9

10

S

2

Plaintiffs,

Defendants.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 5

ADIL HIRAMANEK, et al., Case No. <u>13-cv-00228-RMW</u>

ORDER RE: MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER v.

(Re: Docket Nos. 272, 311) L. MICHAEL CLARK, et al.,

Pursuant to Civ. L.R. 7-1(b), the court finds that Defendants' motion for a protective order¹ is suitable for determination without oral argument. Accordingly, the court takes the hearing for the motion off the court's calendar. The deadline for Plaintiff Adil Hiramanek to file any opposition to Defendant's motion remains 6:00 PM on October 9, 2015, as set by the court's previous order granting Hiramanek's ex parte motion to enlarge time.²

1

SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 9, 2015

United States Magistrate Judge

Case No.13-cv-00228-RMW

ORDER RE: MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER

¹ See Docket No. 272.

² See Docket No. 301.